

OHIO BOARD OF TAX APPEALS

National City Bank, Columbus, c/o National City Corporation,)	
)	CASE NO. 2003-A-1329
)	
Appellant,)	(CORPORATION FRANCHISE
)	TAX)
vs.)	
)	DECISION AND ORDER
Thomas M. Zaino, Tax Commissioner of Ohio,)	
)	Affirmed on Appeal Dec. 6, 2006
)	Ohio Supreme Court
Appellee.)	

APPEARANCES: 111 Ohio St.3d 485, 2006-Ohio-6110

For the Appellant -Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
Raymond D. Anderson
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

For the Appellee - Jim Petro
Attorney General of Ohio
Richard C. Farrin
Assistant Attorney General
30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Entered July 22, 2005

Ms. Margulies, Mr. Eberhart, and Mr. Dunlap concur.

This cause and matter came on to be considered by the Board of Tax Appeals upon a notice of appeal filed by the appellant (“National City”) from a final determination of the Tax Commissioner. In the determination, the commissioner considered appellant’s claims for refund, relating to corporate franchise tax years 1994-1998, and ultimately denied such claims.

The matter was submitted to the Board of Tax Appeals upon the notice of appeal, the statutory transcript certified to the board by the Tax Commissioner, the stipulation of facts¹ entered into by the parties, the testimony and evidence offered at the hearing before this board (in BTA No. 2003-A-1328), and the briefs of counsel.

Based upon the stipulation entered into by the parties hereto, we find that our determination in *National City Bank, Cleveland (n/k/a National City Bank), c/o National City Corporation v. Zaino*, BTA No. 2003-A-1328, issued this date, controls the outcome of the instant matter. Accordingly, it is the decision and order of the Board of Tax Appeals that the decision of the Tax Commissioner must be and hereby is affirmed.

ohiosearchkeybta

¹ The parties have agreed that “the ultimate adjudication and disposition of [BTA No. 2003-A-1328] shall control the outcome of” the instant matter.